

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/546,097	04/10/2000	Henrick K. Gille	08041-007001	2573
20985	7590 06/05/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER	
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500		JOHNSON, HENRY I		
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92122		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	001
Advisory Action	09/546,097	GILLE ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry M Johnson, III	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 5/16/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment wi	lication. A proper re hich places the appli	eply to a ication in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The day have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of t d statutory period for reply originally set i	he fee. The appropriate ex in the final Office action; or	dension fee under r (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>5/16/2003</u> . Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			in
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) \(\square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):		
A. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S.		nsidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disa	pproved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Les coner	2
		Primary Examiner	,

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The beveled center electrode of U.S. Patent 4,228,800 can clearly be interpreted as a mechanical cutting edge and is cited as "forming an angular cutting surface (CoI 4, lines 43-45) and further cited as providing "a cutting edge around the blade" (CoI. 4, line 63)..